

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

_	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/728,066	12/03/2003	Athur C. Perry	1987.1-7 (040020)	7966
	24243 7	590 10/11/2006		EXAM	INER
		ON, BUCHACA & I	BLANCO, JAVIER G		
		CA 92108-3426	12 130	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			3738	

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/728,066	PERRY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
	' ' ' ' ' '	

	Javier G. Bianco	3/38				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 21 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3 a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the followin time periods:						
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. 						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, and the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, and the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, and the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, and the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, and the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, and the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, and the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, and the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, and the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, and the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, and the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, and the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, and the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, and the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, and the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection filed after a filed after	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	ter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	· -	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10 and 12-29</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>11</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(Is to provide a l).			
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	CORRINE MICHERIVIOTT RVISORY PATENT EXAMINER					
TE	CHNOLOGY CENTER 3700	Javier G. Blanco October 2, 2006				

Continuation of 3.

NOTE: Proposed amendment to independent claims 1, 20, 22, and 29 (e.g., "anterior first coating portion"; "distinct from said first portion") would require further consideration and/or search.